

REMARKS


1. Claim 1-12 and 21 are pending in the application. Claims 13-16 have been withdrawn from consideration. (Claims 17-20 were previously cancelled by Amendment). Claims 1-6 and 10 and 12 were previously allowed, but claims 10 and 12 were later amended to depend upon Claim 7. Claim 11 is dependent upon Claim 21. In view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks, Applicant requests allowance of the application.
2. Restriction Requirement. The Examiner has presented a new Restriction requirement, after six substantive Office Actions regarding this Application. Applicant respectfully traverses this requirement, but selects Invention II, directed to claims 7-10 and 12 (not 7-9 and 12 as recited in the Examiner's Office Action). Applicant requests that this rejection be withdrawn.
3. Election of Species Requirement. In addition to the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner has also presented a new Election of Species requirement, again after six substantive Office Actions regarding this Application. Applicant respectfully traverses this requirement, but elects the first identified species: first trap medias including sand, charcoal, coal and combinations thereof. The following claims encompass the elected species: 6, 7-12 and 21. Applicant requests that this rejection be withdrawn and the claims be allowed.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant requests withdrawal of the rejection of the claims and allowance of the application.

Respectfully Submitted,

Geary G. Parke



Robert Kelley Roth
Attorney for Applicants
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone
150 W. Jefferson, Suite 2500
Detroit, MI 48226
313-496-7568
313-496-8454 (fax)
roth@millercanfield.com

DELIB:2926746.1\107725-00006